

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,789	12/09/2003	Marlin H. Mickle	214001-01038-1	214001-01038-1 4950	
3705	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT 600 GRANT STREET			PREVIL,	PREVIL, DANIEL	
44TH FLOOI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			2636	<del></del> .	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,789	MICKLE ET AL.	( BM)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel Previl	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 L</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or analysis are subject.	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	-C 4 404(4)			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/2004</u> .			D-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-41 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Augenblick et al. (US 3,798,642) in view of Greene (US 5,204,681).

Regarding claim 1, Augenblick discloses a method of identifying an article of interest (col. 4, lines 52-55) comprising: providing one of a plurality of RF antennas (RF oscillator 12, antenna 20 and antenna 38) (fig. 1) each having a non-linear element (diode 28) (fig. 1) and being resonant at one of plurality of different frequencies positioned on an article of interest (target 24) (fig. 1) (col. 3, lines 7-17; col. 4, lines 13-22); interrogating one RF antenna with RF energy of a first frequency (fig. 1); converting interrogating RF energy into reflected RF energy of a different frequency from first frequency (fig. 1).

Augenblick discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose the step of sensing reflected RF energy and on the basis of different frequencies determining if a specific antenna is present.

However, Grene discloses the step of sensing reflected RF energy and on the basis of different frequencies determining if a specific antenna is present (col. 3, lines 13-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Grene in Augenblick in order to accurately detect a RF response signal from the target by determining quickly and efficiently if a target is present in the target field, thereby precluding the article from being stolen as taught by Grene (col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 2, Augenblick discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (diode 28) (col. 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 3, Augenblick discloses specific antenna is present and different frequency being about double first frequency (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 4, Augenblick discloses antenna assembly providing a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 5, Augenblick discloses the step of interrogating RF energy producing a sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 6, Augenblick discloses half wave-rectified sine wave has a fundamental Fourier series which is about double the frequency of sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 7, Augenblick and Grene disclose all the limitations in claim 1 and Grene further discloses the step of employing two interrogating

frequencies in determining if an article of interest is present (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 13-33). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Grene in Augenblick in order to accurately detect a RF response signal from the target by determining quickly and efficiently if a target is present in the target field, thereby preventing articles from being stolen as taught by Grene (col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 8, Augenblick discloses the step of employing a spectrum analyzer (comparator 54) (fig. 1) in analyzing different frequency (fig. 1; col. 4, lines 39-51).

Regarding claims 9-11, Augenblick and Grene disclose all the limitations in claim 7 and Grene further discloses the step of employing a binary analysis in determining if an article of interest is present (col. 4, lines 20-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Grene in Augenblick in order to accurately detect a RF response signal from the target thereby determining quickly and efficiently if a target is present in the target field as taught by Grene (col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 12, Augenblick discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 6, lines 10-26).

Regarding claim 13, Augenblick discloses physical condition selected from radiation (radiated from the target) (col. 6, line 17).

Regarding claim 14, Augenblick discloses the step of employing as non-linear elements a variable non-linear element (col. 6, lines 10-38).

Regarding claim 15, Augenblick discloses determining if an article of interest is present (fig. 1) comprising: articles of interest having at least one antenna having one frequency of a plurality of available frequencies (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 7-17; col. 4, lines 13-50); a non-linear element operatively associated with antenna (diode 28) (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 17-25); an RF frequency generator for directing RF energy of a particular frequency to antenna (fig. 1).

Augenblick discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose a detector for receiving reflected RF energy which has impinged on antenna and a processor for determining from reflected frequency whether the antenna is a specific antenna.

However, Greene discloses a detector for receiving reflected RF energy which has impinged on antenna (a receiver 30 for receiving R.F. frequency response signals 36) (col. 3, lines 22-25) and a processor for determining from reflected frequency whether the antenna is a specific antenna (signal processor 32 indicative the presence of a target 10) (col. 3, lines 24-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Greene in Augenblick in order to accurately detect a RF response signal from the target by

determining quickly and efficiently if a target is present in the target field, thereby precluding the article from being stolen as taught by Greene (col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 16, Augenblick discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (diode 28) (col. 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 17, Augenblick discloses RF frequency generator being structured to produce interrogating RF energy in the form of a sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 18, Augenblick discloses antenna being structure to produce a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 19, Augenblick discloses antenna being structured to provide half wave rectified sine wave at a fundamental Fourier series component which is about double frequency of sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 20, Augenblick discloses a RF frequency generator being structured to provide at least two interrogating RF frequencies (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 21, Augenblick discloses a spectrum analyzer for analyzing different frequencies (fig. 1; col. 4, lines 39-51).

Regarding claim 22, Augenblick discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 6, lines 10-26).

Regarding claim 23, Augenblick discloses physical condition selected from radiation (radiated from the target) (col. 6, line 17).

Regarding claim 24, Augenblick discloses a method of monitoring a physical property (fig. 1) comprising: providing an antenna having a non-linear element whose response depends on the physical property being monitored (diode 28) (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 18-25); interrogating RF antenna with RF energy of a first frequency converting the interrogating RF energy into reflected RF energy of a different frequency from first frequency (fig. 1).

Augenblick discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose the step of sensing reflected RF energy on the basis of different frequencies to determine the state of physical property.

However, Greene discloses the step of sensing reflected RF energy on the basis of different frequencies to determine the state of physical property (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 13-33).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Greene in Augenblick in order to accurately detect a RF response signal from the target by determining quickly and efficiently if a target is present in the target field, thereby precluding the article from being stolen as taught by Greene (col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 25, Augenblick discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (diode 28) (col. 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 26, Augenblick discloses specific antenna is present and different frequency being about double first frequency (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 27, Augenblick discloses antenna assembly providing a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 28, Augenblick discloses the step of interrogating RF energy producing a sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 29, Augenblick discloses half wave-rectified sine wave has a fundamental Fourier series which is about double the frequency of sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 30, Augenblick discloses the step of employing a spectrum analyzer (comparator 54) (fig. 1) in analyzing different frequency (fig. 1; col. 4, lines 39-51).

Regarding claim 31, Augenblick discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a determination regarding whether an article of interest is present (col. 6, lines 10-26).

Regarding claim 32, Augenblick discloses physical condition selected from radiation (radiated from the target) (col. 6, line 17).

Regarding claim 33, Augenblick discloses determining if an article of interest is present (fig. 1) comprising: an antenna having one frequency of a plurality of available frequencies (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 7-17; col. 4, lines 13-50); a non-linear element operatively associated with antenna (diode 28) (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 17-25); an RF frequency generator for directing RF energy of a particular frequency to antenna (fig. 1).

Augenblick discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose a detector for receiving reflected RF energy which has impinged on antenna and a processor for determining from reflected frequency whether the antenna is a specific antenna.

However, Greene discloses a detector for receiving reflected RF energy which has impinged on antenna (a receiver 30 for receiving R.F. frequency response signals 36) (col. 3, lines 22-25) and a processor for determining from reflected frequency whether the antenna is a specific antenna (signal processor 32 indicative the presence of a target 10) (col. 3, lines 24-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Greene in Augenblick in order to accurately detect a RF response signal from the target by determining quickly and efficiently if a target is present in the target field, thereby precluding the article from being stolen as taught by Greene (col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 34, Augenblick discloses a non-linear element is a rectifying diode (diode 28) (col. 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 35, Augenblick discloses RF frequency generator being structured to produce interrogating RF energy in the form of a sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 36, Augenblick discloses antenna being structure to produce a half wave rectified sine wave from interrogating RF energy (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 37, Augenblick discloses antenna being structured to provide half wave rectified sine wave at a fundamental Fourier series component which is about double frequency of sine wave (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 38, Augenblick discloses a RF frequency generator being structured to provide at least two interrogating RF frequencies (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 39, Augenblick discloses a spectrum analyzer for analyzing different frequencies (fig. 1; col. 4, lines 39-51).

Regarding claim 40, Augenblick discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with said non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 6, lines 10-26).

Regarding claim 41, Augenblick discloses a second non-linear element cooperating with non-linear element to provide a variable readout which is a function of a specific physical condition (col. 6, lines 10-26).

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nysen (US 6,060,815) discloses a frequency mixing passive transponder.

Hurta et al. (US 5,809,142) discloses method and system for calculating a user account balance in a recognition system.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,789 Page 11

Art Unit: 2636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Previl whose telephone number is (571) 272-2971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Previl Examiner Art Unit 2636

DP April 11, 2005.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600